

## **K-THEORY OF EILENBERG-MAC LANE SPACES AND CELL-LIKE MAPPING PROBLEM**

A. N. DRANISHNIKOV

**ABSTRACT.** There exist cell-like dimension raising maps of 6-dimensional manifolds. The existence of such maps is proved by using  $K$ -theory of Eilenberg-Mac Lane complexes.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main notions of geometric topology is the notion of cell-like map. The reason is that the cell-like maps between closed manifolds of dimension  $\neq 3$  can be approximated by homeomorphisms [Si, Q]. This statement in dimension 3 implies the Poincaré conjecture and, of course, it is not proved. In dimension 3 a weaker statement is true [Ar]. Cell-like maps of manifolds often are obtained as decomposition maps. In that case the image is not necessarily a manifold. It is only a homology manifold. R. D. Edwards proved [E1] that for  $n \geq 5$  if the decomposition space  $X$  is finite dimensional and has additionally the “Disjoint Disk Property” introduced by Cannon [C], then  $X$  is a manifold and more than that the quotient map can be approximated by homeomorphisms. Then R. D. Daverman [Da] derived from Edwards’ theorem that if the decomposition space  $X$  of cell-like decompositions of manifolds is a finite-dimensional one then  $X \times \mathbb{R}^2$  is a manifold. Now the following problem is natural.

**Cell-like mapping problem.** Is the image of a cell-like map of an  $n$ -manifold always finite dimensional?

Recall that a map between compacta  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is called cell-like if the preimage of each point,  $f^{-1}(x)$ , can be embedded in a manifold as a cellular subset = intersection of a decreasing system of closed topological cells. Note that a cell-like map is always surjective. The cell-like problem arose after Bing’s works on decompositions of manifolds appeared [B1, B2] and it turned out to be that [E2] the cell-like problem is equivalent to a very old problem of Alexandroff in homological dimension theory [A, W] (see also the surveys [D-S, D1, M-R]).

In [K-W] it was proved that the cell-like mapping problem has an affirmative answer for 3-dimensional manifolds. Then by using results of Edwards and Walsh and some computations in  $K$ -theory [B-M, A-H] an example of a

---

Received by the editors March 29, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 55M10, 57Q55.

*Key words and phrases.* Cell-like map, cohomological dimension, Eilenberg-Mac Lane space.

The author was partially supported by the U. S. Army Research Office through the Mathematical Sciences Institute of Cornell University.

cell-like map  $\phi: I^7 \rightarrow Y$  of the 7-dimensional cube with infinite dimensional range  $Y$  was constructed in [D2, D1]. Edwards' theorem [W] claims that for every compactum  $X$  with cohomological dimension  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq n$  with respect to the integers as coefficients there exists a cell-like map  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  of an  $n$ -dimensional compactum  $Z$  onto  $X$ . Call such a map an Edwards-Walsh resolution. The map  $\phi: I^7 \rightarrow Y$  was obtained as a quotient map generated by embedding an Edwards-Walsh resolution  $Z$  of some compactum  $X$  in 7-dimensional Euclidean space. The compactum  $X$  with the properties  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X = 3$  and  $\dim X = \infty$  was constructed by using complex  $K$ -theory with finite coefficients.

R. D. Daverman proved (oral communication) that any Edwards-Walsh resolution  $Z$  of that compactum  $X$  cannot be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . The reason, roughly speaking, is that the 3-dimensional skeleton of high-dimensional simplex cannot be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  and  $X$  contains such skeletons by the construction.

In this paper by using  $K$ -theory of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces  $K(\pi, 2)$  for  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , and infinite-dimensional compactum  $X$  with  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \times X \leq 5$  is constructed. Then by improving Edwards' theorem, the Edwards-Walsh resolution  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  with the additional property  $\dim Z \times Z \leq 5$  is constructed. Then a recent result of [D-R-S, Sp] implies that such a compactum  $Z$  can be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . All together, this produces a cell-like map of the 6-dimensional cube with infinite-dimensional image.<sup>1</sup>

## 2. AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL COMPACTUM WITH FINITE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

By  $K(\pi, n)$  denote an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex, i.e., an arbitrary CW-complex  $L$  with the properties  $\pi_i(L) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$  and  $\pi_n(L) = \pi$ . So a contractible CW-complex is regarded as an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex  $K(\{e\}, n)$  for arbitrary  $n$  where  $\{e\}$  denoted the trivial group.

Recall that the cohomological dimension of a space  $X$  with group  $G$  as coefficients does not exceed  $n$ ,  $\text{c-dim}_G X \leq n$ , if for any closed subset  $A \subset X$  and for an arbitrary continuous map  $\phi: A \rightarrow K(G, n)$  there exists an extension  $\psi: X \rightarrow K(G, n)$  of  $\phi$  [Ku, W, D1].

**Definition [D1].** Let  $f: X \rightarrow K$  be a map, and let  $K$  be a polyhedron with fixed triangulation  $\tau$ . The formal inequality  $\text{c-dim}_G(f, \tau) \leq n$  will denote the following statement:

*For any subpolyhedron  $A \subset K$  with respect to  $\tau$  for an arbitrary map  $\phi: A \rightarrow K(G, n)$  there exists an extension  $\psi: X \rightarrow K(G, n)$  of the restriction  $\phi \circ f|_{f^{-1}(A)}$ .*

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and that  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] = \{m/p^k \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a localization of integers away from the prime  $p$ . The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *For arbitrary prime  $p$  there exists an infinite-dimensional compactum  $X$  with cohomological dimensions  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X \leq 2$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} X \leq 2$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>After this paper was submitted J. Dydak and J. Walsh solved negatively the cell-like mapping problem in dimension 5. Instead of calculation in  $K$ -theory they used the Sullivan conjecture proved by H. Miller.

**Proposition 1.** *The inequality  $\max\{\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}X, \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}X\} \leq n$  implies that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}}X \leq n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Cohen's theorem [Ku] the inequality  $\text{c-dim}_G X \leq n$  for compact  $X$  is equivalent to the property:  $H_c^{n+1}(U; G) = 0$  for every open set  $U \subset X$ , where  $H_c^*$  is Čech cohomology with compact support. The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  generates a long one (here  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ )

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_c^{n+2}(U; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \rightarrow \cdots$$

for arbitrary open sets  $U \subset X$ . By virtue of Bokshtein's inequality [Ku]  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X$ , we have that  $H_c^{n+1}(U; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ . Since  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} X \leq n + 1$  we have that  $H_c^{n+2}(U; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) = 0$ . Hence  $H_c^{n+1}(U; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and therefore  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq n + 1$ .

The following lemma for  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  actually was proved in [W].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $n > 1$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  than for arbitrary compact polyhedron  $K$  with fixed triangulation  $\tau$  there exists a countable CW-complex  $W_\tau(G, n)$  and a map  $\omega: W_\tau(G, n) \rightarrow K$  with the following properties:*

- (1) *for any simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$ ,  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma) \simeq K(\bigoplus_1^{m_\sigma} G, n)$ ,*
- (2)  *$\text{c-dim}_G(\omega, \tau) \leq n$ ,*
- (3)  *$W_\tau(G, n)$  can be supplied with PL-structure compatible with the cellular one.*

*We call the complex  $W_\tau(G, n)$  together with the map  $\omega$  Edwards-Walsh construction for  $\tau, G, n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\dim K \leq n$  then define  $W_\tau(G, n) = K$  and  $\omega = \text{id}_K$ .

If  $\dim K = n + 1$  then for every  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$  replace  $\sigma$  by an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex  $K(G, n)$ . In order to do this, fix an  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S^n$  in  $K(G, n)$  which generates the unit  $1 \in \pi_n(K(G, n)) = G$  ( $= \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ ) and identify that sphere with the boundary  $\partial\sigma$  by some PL-homeomorphism. As a result, we will obtain a CW-complex  $W_\tau(G, n)$ . Define  $\omega$  such that  $\omega^{-1}|_{K^{(n)}}: K^{(n)} \rightarrow W_\tau(G, n)$  is an embedding. To achieve this property, send every attached Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex to the corresponding simplex  $\sigma$  and then move  $K(G, n) - S^n$  off  $\partial\sigma$  into  $\sigma$ .

If  $\dim K = n + 2$  consider the Edwards-Walsh construction  $\omega^1: W_{\tau_k^1}(G, n) \rightarrow K^{(n+1)}$  for the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional skeleton  $K^{(n+1)}$  of  $K$  with restricted triangulation  $\tau^1 = \tau|_{K^{(n+1)}}$ . Consider an arbitrary  $(n + 2)$ -simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$ . Denote by  $Y_\sigma$  the preimage  $(\omega^1)^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})$ . In the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  it is easy to see that  $\pi_n(Y_\sigma) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then by attaching cells to  $Y_\sigma$  in the dimensions  $> n + 1$  it is possible to obtain a complex  $K(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p, n)$  which automatically will be glued to  $Y_\sigma$ . Do this for all  $(n + 2)$ -dimensional simplexes to obtain  $W_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_p, n)$  and define a map  $\omega: W_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_p, n) \rightarrow K$  with the properties:

- (1)  $\omega|_{W_{\tau^1}(\mathbb{Z}_p, n)} = \omega^1$  and
- (2)  $\omega^{-1}|_{K^{(n+1)}} \equiv (\omega^1)^{-1}$ .

In the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  we have  $\pi_n(Y_\sigma)/\text{Tor } \pi_n(Y_\sigma) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . By attaching to  $Y_\sigma$   $n + 1$ -cells killing  $\text{Tor } \pi_n(Y_\sigma)$  and then cells in higher dimensions, it is possible to obtain a complex  $K(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], n)$  glued to  $Y_\sigma$ . Similarly define the map  $\omega: W_\tau(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], n) \rightarrow K$ .

If the dimension of  $K$  is greater than  $n+2$ , the Edwards-Walsh construction can be produced by induction and for every  $m$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma$  for  $m > n+2$  we will have  $\pi_n((\omega^1)^{-1}(\sigma^{(m-1)})) = \bigoplus G$ . It is trivial for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and can be easily computed for  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Then build  $K(\bigoplus G, n)$  by attaching to  $(\omega^1)^{-1}(\sigma^{(m-1)})$  cells of dimension  $> n+1$ . Define  $W_\tau(G, n)$  and  $\omega$  as above.

The properties (1), (3) hold by the construction. In order to check (2) it is sufficient to prove that for every simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$  the inclusion  $j: \omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma) \rightarrow \omega^{-1}(\sigma)$  induces an epimorphism  $j^*: H^n(\omega^{-1}(\sigma); G) \rightarrow H^n(\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma); G)$ . If  $\dim \sigma > n+2$  this follows from the fact that  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma)$  is obtained from  $\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma)$  by attaching cells only in the dimension  $> n+1$ . The same argument is valid for  $\dim \sigma = n+2$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

If  $\dim \sigma = n+2$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  the homomorphism  $j^*$  is an epimorphism because all  $(n+1)$ -cells attached to  $\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma)$  in the construction of  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma)$  are attached by maps which generate elements of finite order in  $\pi_n(\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma))$  and  $\text{Tor} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] = 0$ . Since the inclusion of the “unit” sphere  $S^n \hookrightarrow K(G, n)$  induces an epimorphism of  $n$ -dimensional cohomology groups with  $G$  as coefficients, then  $j^*$  is an epimorphism in the case  $\dim \sigma = n+1$ . If  $\dim \sigma \leq n$  then there is no problem.

Let  $\tilde{K}_C^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  denote the reduced complex  $K$ -theory with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  [B-M, A-H]. Recall that for connected  $X$ ,  $\tilde{K}_C^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = [X \wedge B_p^2, BU]$  and  $\tilde{K}_C^{2i+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = [X \wedge B_p^2, U]$  where  $B_p^2 = S^1 \cup_p B^2$  is a Moore space.

**Theorem 2** [A-H].  $\tilde{K}_C^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_p, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ .

**Corollary.**  $\tilde{K}_C^*(K(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.**  $\tilde{K}_C^*(K(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{K}_C^*(K(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], 2))$  has a structure of  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -module the universal coefficient formula [A-H] implies the formula.

**Corollary.**  $\tilde{K}_C^*(K(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\omega: W_\tau(G, 2) \rightarrow K$  be a projection in Edwards-Walsh construction for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Then  $\omega$  induces an isomorphism  $\omega^*: \tilde{K}_C^*(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_C^*(W_\tau(G, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\dim K$ . Apply the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and the Corollary of Theorem 2 in the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and the Corollary of Proposition 2 in the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

**Proposition 4** [B-M]. Suppose that the CW-complex  $X$  is a direct limit  $X = \varinjlim X_\alpha$ , then  $\tilde{K}_C^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim \tilde{K}_C^*(X_\alpha; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $K$  be a polyhedron with triangulation  $\tau$  and suppose the map  $f: X \rightarrow K$  has the property  $\text{c-dim}_G(f, \tau) \leq n$ . If  $f' = f \circ g$  then  $\text{c-dim}_G(f', \tau) \leq n$ .

**Corollary.** If  $X' \subset X$  then  $\text{c-dim}_G(f|_{X'}, \tau) \leq n$ .

The proof is trivial.

**Lemma 2.** For any prime  $p$  and for  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , for an arbitrary compact polyhedron  $K$  with triangulation  $\tau$ , and for arbitrary nontrivial  $\alpha \in \tilde{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^*(K; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  there exists a map  $f: L \rightarrow K$  of a compact polyhedron  $L$  with the properties

- (1)  $f^*(\alpha) \neq 0$ ,
- (2)  $\text{c-dim}_G(f, \tau) \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* Consider the Edwards-Walsh construction  $\omega: W_{\tau}(G, 2) \rightarrow K$ . By property (3) of Lemma 1 there is a filtration of  $W_{\tau}(G, 2)$  by compact polyhedra  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots \subset L_i \subset \dots$ . Denote by  $\varepsilon_i$  the inclusion  $L_i \hookrightarrow W_{\tau}(G, 2)$ . By Proposition 3  $\omega^*(\alpha) \neq 0$ . By Proposition 4 there exists  $i$  such that  $\varepsilon_i^*(\omega^*(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . Consider  $L = L_i$  and  $f = \omega|_{L_i}$ . We have  $f^*(\alpha) = \varepsilon_i^*(\omega^*(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . By property (2) of Lemma 1 and the Corollary of Proposition 5 we have  $\text{c-dim}_G(f, \tau) \leq 2$ .

**Lemma 3 [D1].** Let  $Z$  be the limit space of an inverse system of compact polyhedra  $\{L_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$  with fixed triangulations  $\tau_i$  and fixed metrics  $\rho_i$ . Suppose that for all  $k$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{mesh}(g_k^{k+i}(\tau_{k+i})) = 0$$

and suppose that for infinitely many  $i$ ,  $\text{c-dim}_{\pi}(g_i^{i+1}, \tau_i) \leq n$ . Then  $\text{c-dim}_{\pi} Z \leq n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We define  $X$  as a limit space of an inverse system  $\{L_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$  and construct this system by induction. Define  $L_1 = S^4$  and fix a metric  $\rho_1$  on  $L_1$  and triangulation  $\tau_1$  with  $\text{mesh } \tau_1 < 1$ . Fix  $\alpha_1 \in \tilde{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^*(S^4; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ ,  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , and apply Lemma 2 with  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  to obtain  $g_1^2: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ . Define  $\alpha_2 = (g_1^2)^*(\alpha_1) \neq 0$ . Fix a metric  $\rho_2$  on  $L_2$  and choose a triangulation  $\tau_2$  with  $\text{mesh } \tau_2 < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\text{mesh } g_1^2 \tau_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then apply Lemma 2 with  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and so on.

Additionally we will obtain a sequence  $\alpha_i \in \tilde{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^*(L_i, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $(g_i^i)^*(\alpha_1) = \alpha_i \neq 0$ .

Lemma 3 implies that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X$ ,  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} X \leq 2$ . The compact  $X$  is infinite dimensional. Then by Alexandroff's theorem [A, W] it follows that  $\dim X = \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ . By virtue of Proposition 1,  $\dim X \leq 3$ . Hence the projection  $(g_1^{\infty}): X \rightarrow S^4$  is not essential. From the other side we have that  $(g_1^{\infty})^*(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $g_1^{\infty}$  is an essential map. Contradiction.

### 3. EDWARDS-WALSH RESOLUTION

Suppose that  $\{(X_i, x_i), p_i^{i+1}\}$  is an inverse system of pointed spaces and base point preserving maps. Then there are natural embeddings of  $\prod_{i=1}^m X_i$  into  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . The sequence  $X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow X_m$  defines an embedding of  $X_m$  into  $\prod_{i=0}^m X_i$  and the inverse system  $\{X_i, p_i^{i+1}\}$  defines an embedding of the limit space in  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  by the definition. So, for any pointed inverse system  $\{X_i, p_i^{i+1}\}$  with limit space  $X$  there are natural embeddings  $X_i \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  and  $X \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . We will call this system of embeddings a realization of the inverse system in  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ .

Suppose that  $\rho_i$  is a metric on  $X_i$ , and let  $\bar{\rho}_i$  be the diameter of  $X_i$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\rho}_i < \infty$  then the formula  $\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i(p_i^{\infty}(x), p_i^{\infty}(y))$  defines a metric on  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . Such a metric we will call a brick metric.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a finite covering of some space  $X$  with fixed metric. By  $d(\mathcal{M})$  we denote  $\max\{\text{diam } M : M \in \mathcal{M}\}$  and by  $\lambda(\mathcal{M})$  we denote the Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \max\{r : \forall O_r(x) \exists M \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } O_r(x) \subset M\}.$$

Here  $O_r(x)$  is the ball of radius  $r$  with  $x$  as a center. By  $M_x$  denote arbitrary sets  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  with the property  $x \in O_{\lambda(\mathcal{M})}(x) \subset M$ .

The following lemma is a variation of M. Brown's lemma [Br, W].

**Lemma 4.** Let  $X = \varprojlim \{K_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$  be the limit space of an inverse system of compacta and suppose that the system  $\{K_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$  is realized in  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  with brick metric  $\rho$  on it. Suppose  $Z = \varprojlim \{L_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$  is the limit space of another inverse system of compacta and suppose that for all  $i$  a covering  $\mathcal{M}^i$  of  $K_i$  and a map  $\alpha_i: L_i \rightarrow K_i$  are defined such that

- (1)  $\alpha_i(L_i) \cap M \neq \emptyset$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}^i$ ,
- (2) the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i+1}} & K_{i+1} \\ \downarrow g_i^{i+1} & & \downarrow f_i^{i+1} \\ L_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & K_i \end{array}$$

is  $(\lambda_i/4)$ -commutative, i.e.,  $\rho(\alpha_i \circ g_i^{i+1}, f_i^{i+1} \circ \alpha_{i+1}) < \lambda_i/4$  where  $\lambda_i = \lambda(\mathcal{M}^i)$ ,

- (3)  $d_i = d(\mathcal{M}^i) < \lambda_{i-1}/4$ .

Then there exists a map  $\alpha: Z \rightarrow X$  onto  $X$  such that a preimage of each point  $x \in X$  is

$$\alpha^{-1}(x) = \varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)}), g_i^{i+1}|_{\dots}\}.$$

*Proof.* (A) For any  $i, k$ ,  $\rho(\alpha_i \circ g_i^{i+k}, f_i^{i+k} \circ \alpha_{i+k}) < \lambda_i/2$ . Prove it by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  it follows by property (2). Suppose that  $k > 1$ . For an arbitrary point  $z \in L_{i+k}$  the triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\alpha_i \circ g_i^{i+k}(z), f_i^{i+k} \circ \alpha_{i+k}(z)) \\ & \leq \rho(\alpha_i g_i^{i+1}(g_{i+1}^{i+k}(z)), f_i^{i+1} \alpha_{i+1}(g_{i+1}^{i+k}(z))) \\ & \quad + \rho(f_i^{i+1}(\alpha_{i+1} g_{i+1}^{i+k}(z)), f_i^{i+1}(f_{i+1}^{i+k} \alpha_{i+k}(z))) \\ & \leq \lambda_i/4 + \rho(\alpha_{i+1} g_{i+1}^{i+k}(z), f_{i+1}^{i+k} \alpha_{i+k}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to (2) and a property of brick metric. Apply the induction assumption to conclude the proof.

(B) There exists a limit  $\alpha$  of the sequence of maps  $\alpha_i g_i^\infty: Z \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ .

Denote by  $s_k$  the sum  $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \bar{\rho}_i$  where  $\bar{\rho}_i = \text{diam}_{\rho_i} K_i$ . Then for any  $z \in Z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), \alpha_{i+k} g_{i+k}^\infty(z)) \\ & \leq \rho(\alpha_i g_i^{i+k}(g_{i+k}^\infty(z)), f_i^{i+k} \alpha_{i+k}(g_{i+k}^\infty(z))) \\ & \quad + \rho(f_i^{i+k} \alpha_{i+k}(g_{i+k}^\infty(z)), \alpha_{i+k} g_{i+k}^\infty(z)) < \lambda_i/2 + s_i. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy criterion implies the proof.

(C)  $\alpha(Z) \subset X$ . For arbitrary  $z \in Z$ ,  $\rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), (f_i^\infty)^{-1} \alpha_i g_i^\infty(z)) < s_i$  and hence  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), X) = 0$ .

(D) The sequence  $\{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)}), g_i^{i+1} | \dots\}$  is well defined for any  $x$  and for arbitrary choice of  $M_{f_i^\infty(x)} \in \mathcal{M}^i$ .

Property (1) implies that  $\alpha_i^{-1}M_{f_i^\infty(x)} \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . It suffices to show that

$$g_i^{i+1}(\alpha_{i+1}^{-1}(M_{f_{i+1}^\infty(x)})) \subset \alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)}).$$

Let  $y \in \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}M_{f_{i+1}^\infty(x)}$ . We show that  $\alpha_i g_i^{i+1}(y) \in M_{f_i^\infty(x)}$ . By the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha_i g_i^{i+1}(y), f_i^\infty(x)) &\leq \rho(\alpha_i g_i^{i+1}(y), f_i^{i+1}\alpha_{i+1}(y)) + \rho(f_i^{i+1}\alpha_{i+1}(y), f_i^{i+1}f_{i+1}^\infty(x)) \\ &\leq \lambda_i/4 + d_{i+1} < \lambda_i/4 + \lambda_i/4 \quad (\text{by (3)}) \\ &\leq \lambda_i/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha_i g_i^{i+1}(y) \in O_{\lambda_i}(f_i^\infty(x)) \subset M_{f_i^\infty(x)}$ .

(E)  $\alpha(\varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)})\}) = x$ . Let  $z \in \varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)})\}$ . Since

$$\rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), f_i^\infty(x)) < d_i$$

then  $\rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), x) < d_i + s_i \rightarrow 0$ .

(F)  $\alpha^{-1}(x) \subset \varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)})\}$ .

Suppose that  $z \notin \varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1}(M_{f_i^\infty(x)})\}$ . Then there is a number  $i$  such that  $g_i^\infty(z) \notin \alpha_i^{-1}M_{f_i^\infty(x)}$ . Hence

$$(*) \quad \rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), f_i^\infty(x)) > \lambda_i.$$

Brick metric properties and triangle inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha_{i+k} g_{i+k}^\infty(z), x) &\geq \rho(f_i^{i+k}\alpha_{i+k} g_{i+k}^\infty(z), f_i^\infty(x)) \\ &\geq \rho(\alpha_i g_i^\infty(z), f_i^\infty(x)) - \rho(f_i^{i+k}\alpha_{i+k}(g_{i+k}^\infty(z)), \alpha_i g_i^{i+k}(g_{i+k}^\infty(z))) \\ &\geq \lambda_i - \lambda_i/2 \end{aligned}$$

(by  $(*)$  and (A)). So  $\rho(\alpha(z), x) \geq \lambda_i/2$ .

Suppose that  $K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional polyhedron with fixed triangulation  $\tau$  and  $p$  is a prime number. We define  $n$ -dimensional complexes  $p\tau$  and  $\frac{1}{p}\tau$  together with projections  $\mu: p\tau \rightarrow K$  and  $\nu: \frac{1}{p}\tau \rightarrow K$  and call them a  $p$ -modification of  $K$  and  $\frac{1}{p}$ -modification of  $K$  correspondingly. The complex  $p\tau$  is obtained from  $K$  by replacement of  $n$ -dimensional simplexes by  $n$ -cells attached by maps of degree  $p$ . The projection  $\mu$  is defined arbitrary with the property that  $\mu^{-1}|K^{(n-1)}$  is an embedding. The complex  $\frac{1}{p}\tau$  is obtained from  $K$  by replacement of  $n$ -simplices by infinite  $p$ -telescopes = the infinite union of mapping cylinders of maps of degree  $p$  (see [Su]), and define a map  $\nu: \frac{1}{p}\tau \rightarrow K$  with the same property.

**Proposition 6.** For arbitrary triangulation  $\tau$  of an  $(n+1)$ -skeleton of  $m$ -simplex,  $m > n \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_n(p\tau) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\pi_n(\frac{1}{p}\tau)$  is divisible by  $p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|\tau^{(n)}|$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected,  $\pi_n(\tau^{(n)})$  is a free module over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It is generated by the family of boundaries of  $(n+1)$ -simplices in  $\tau$ , say  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ . The relations are obtained from  $(n+2)$ -simplices of  $\tau$ . Let it

be  $F_1, \dots, F_l$ . So we know that the module  $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]/\{F_i\}$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By the construction of the  $p$ -modification we have

$$\pi_n(p\tau) = \mathbb{Z}_p[a_1, \dots, a_m]/\{F_i\}.$$

It is easy to check that every basis  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]/\{F_i\}$  generates a basis  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_k$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[a_1, \dots, a_m]/\{F_i\}$ .

Since  $\pi_n(\frac{1}{p}\tau) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][a_1, \dots, a_m]/\{F_i\}$  then  $\pi_n(\frac{1}{p}\tau)$  is divisible by  $p$ .

**Proposition 7.** *If  $G$  is divisible by  $p$  then*

$$\text{c-dim}_G Y \leq \max\{\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} Y, \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}} Y\}.$$

*Proof.* The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor } G \rightarrow G/\text{Tor } G \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\text{c-dim}_G Y \leq \max\{\text{c-dim}_{\text{Tor } G} Y, \text{c-dim}_{G/\text{Tor } G} Y\}$ . The torsion part can be split as  $\text{Tor } G = \text{Tor}' G \oplus p\text{-Tor } G$  and  $\text{Tor}' G$  does not contain  $p$ -torsion. Bokstein's inequalities [Ku]  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^\infty}} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_q} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}$  is a localization of the integers at some prime  $q$ , imply that  $\text{c-dim}_{\text{Tor}' G} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ . Since  $G$  is divisible by  $p$  then  $p\text{-Tor } G = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$  and hence  $\text{c-dim}_{p\text{-Tor } G} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}}$ . Since  $G/\text{Tor } G$  is divisible by  $p$  it follows [Ku] that  $\text{c-dim}_{G/\text{Tor } G} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\mu: p\tau \rightarrow |\tau|$  and  $\nu: \frac{1}{p}\tau \rightarrow |\tau|$  be projections of the  $p$ -modification and  $\frac{1}{p}$ -modification correspondingly of an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional polyhedron. Then  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mu, \tau) \leq n$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}(\nu, \tau) \leq n$ .*

The proof follows from the definition.

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $w: R \rightarrow K$  is a map onto a polyhedron  $K$ . Let  $\tau$  be a triangulation on  $K$  with mesh  $\tau < \varepsilon$  and assume that for every simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$ ,  $w^{-1}(\sigma) \simeq K(\bigoplus_1^{m_\sigma} \pi, n)$  for some fixed  $n$ . If  $\text{c-dim}_\pi X \leq n$  then for any map  $f: X \rightarrow K$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -lifting  $f': X \rightarrow R$  (i.e.,  $\rho(wf', f) < \varepsilon$ ).*

*Proof.* Construct  $f'$  step by step defined on sets  $f^{-1}(K^{(i)})$  where  $K^{(i)}$  denotes the  $i$ -skeleton with respect to  $\tau$ . Define  $f'$  on  $f^{-1}(K^{(0)})$  by choosing some points in  $w^{-1}(v)$  for all  $v \in K^{(0)}$ . Suppose that  $f'$  is defined on  $f^{-1}(K^{(i)})$  with the property:

$$(*) \quad \forall \sigma \in \tau \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{if } f(x) \in \sigma \text{ then } wf'(x) \in \sigma.$$

Consider an arbitrary  $(i+1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma \in \tau$  and extend the map

$$f'|_{\dots}: f^{-1}(\sigma^{(i)}) \rightarrow w^{-1}(\sigma) \simeq K\left(\bigoplus_1^{m_\sigma} \pi, n\right)$$

to a map of  $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ . Do this for all  $(i+1)$ -dimensional simplexes  $\sigma$  to define  $f'$  on  $f^{-1}(K^{(i+1)})$ . Property  $(*)$  holds and implies the inequality  $\rho(wf', f) < \varepsilon$ .

By  $|\tau|$  denote a geometric realization of a simplicial complex  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $X$  be the limit space of an inverse system of compact polyhedra  $\{N_k, q_k^{k+1}\}$  and suppose that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X \leq n$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} X \leq n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let the group  $G$  be equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , and let  $N_1^{(n+1)}$  denote  $(n+1)$ -dimensional skeleton of  $N_1$  with respect to some triangulation  $\tau_1$  with mesh  $\tau_1 < \varepsilon$ . Then*



for any triangulation  $\gamma$  of  $N_1^{(n+1)}$  there exists a number  $k$  such that for any triangulation  $\tau$  of  $N_k$  there is a map  $g: |\tau^{(n+1)}| \rightarrow N_1^{(n+1)}$  with the properties:

- (1)  $\text{c-dim}_G(g, \tau) \leq n$ ,
- (2)  $\rho(g, q_1^k|_{|\tau^{(n+1)}|}) < 3\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.*  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . There exists a CW-complex  $R$  and a map  $\theta: R \rightarrow N_1$  with the properties:

- (1) for any simplex  $\sigma \in \tau_1$ ,  $\theta^{-1}(\sigma) \simeq K(\pi_n(p(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}})), n)$ ,
- (2) the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional skeleton  $R^{[n+1]}$  coincides with the  $p$ -modification  $p\gamma$ , and the restriction  $\theta|_{p\gamma}$  coincides with  $\mu: p\gamma \rightarrow |\gamma|$ . We define  $R$  as a growing union of CW-complexes  $R_{n+1} \subset R_{n+2} \subset \dots \subset R_{\dim N_1} = R$  and define  $\theta$  as a union of maps  $\theta_i: R_i \rightarrow N_1^{(i)}$ ,  $i \geq n+1$ . First of all define  $R_{n+1}$  as an Edwards-Walsh construction  $W_\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, n)$  and  $\theta_{n+1} = \omega: R_{n+1} \rightarrow N_1^{(n+1)}$  such that  $R_{n+1}^{[n+1]} = p\gamma$  and  $\omega|_{p\gamma} = \mu: p\gamma \rightarrow N_1^{(n+1)}$ . For every  $(n+2)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma \in \tau_1$ ,

$$\pi_n(\theta_{n+1}^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})) = \pi_n(\mu^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})) = \pi_n(p(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}})).$$

By virtue of Proposition 6 it is possible to obtain a CW-complex  $K(\bigoplus_1^{m_\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_p, n)$  by attaching to  $\theta_{n+1}^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})$  cells of dimensions  $\geq n+2$ . Define a map  $\theta_{n+2}$  on each newly attached cell such that  $\theta_{n+2}$  sends an open cell into the interior of  $\sigma$  and

$$\theta_{n+2}|_{\theta_{n+1}^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})} = \theta_{n+1}|_{\theta_{n+1}^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+1)})}.$$

Thus it is possible to define  $\theta_{n+2}: R_{n+2} \rightarrow N_1^{(n+2)}$ . By using Proposition 6 we may assume that for arbitrary  $(n+3)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma \in \tau_1$ , the  $n$ th homotopy group  $\pi_n(\theta_{n+2}^{-1}(\sigma^{(n+2)}))$  coincides with the  $n$ th homotopy group of the  $(n+1)$ -skeleton  $\equiv \pi_n(p(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}}))$ , and so on.

If  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  then there exists a CW-complex  $R$  and a map  $\theta: R \rightarrow N_1$  such that

- (1) for any simplex  $\sigma \in \tau_1$ ,  $\theta^{-1}(\sigma) \simeq K(\pi_n(\frac{1}{p}(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}})), n)$ ,
- (2) the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional skeleton  $R^{[n+1]}$  coincides with the  $\frac{1}{p}$ -modification  $\frac{1}{p}\gamma$  and the restriction  $\theta|_{\frac{1}{p}\gamma}$  coincides with  $\nu: \frac{1}{p}\gamma \rightarrow |\gamma|$ .

The proof is the same.

By Proposition 6,  $\pi_n(p(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}})) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and the group  $\pi = \pi_n(\frac{1}{p}(\gamma|_{\sigma^{(n+1)}}))$  is divisible by  $p$ . Proposition 7 implies that  $\text{c-dim}_\pi X \leq n$ . So, in both cases it is possible to apply Lemma 5 to the map  $\theta: R \rightarrow N_1$ . In both cases we will obtain an  $\varepsilon$ -lifting  $f: X \rightarrow R$ . Since  $R \in \text{ANE}$  then there exists a number  $k$  and a map  $f_k: N_k \rightarrow R$  such that  $\rho(\theta \circ f, \theta \circ f_k \circ q_k^\infty) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\tau$  be a triangulation of  $N_k$ . Denote by  $g'$  a cellular approximation of  $f_k: |\tau^{(n+1)}| \rightarrow R$  into the  $(n+1)$ -skeleton  $R^{[n+1]}$ . We have  $\rho(\theta \circ f_k, \theta \circ g) < \varepsilon$ . For arbitrary  $z \in |\tau^{(n+1)}|$  choose  $x \in (q_\infty^k)^{-1}(z)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(q_1^k(z), \theta \circ g'(z)) &\leq \rho(q_1^k(x), \theta \circ f(x)) + \rho(\theta \circ f(x), \theta \circ f_k \circ q_k^\infty(x)) \\ &\quad + \rho(\theta \circ f_k(z), \theta \circ g'(z)) \leq 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $g = \theta \circ g': |\tau^{(n+1)}| \rightarrow N_1^{(n+1)}$ . Property (2) has just been checked.

By Proposition 8,  $\text{c-dim}_G(\theta|_{R^{[n+1]}}, \gamma) \leq n$  and hence by virtue of Proposition 5,  $\text{c-dim}_G(g, \tau) \leq n$ .

**Lemma 7 [W].** *Let  $X$  be the limit space of an inverse system of compact polyhedra  $\{N_k, q_i^{i+1}\}$  and suppose that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq m$ . Let  $N_1^{(m)}$  be an  $(n+1)$ -skeleton of  $N_1$  with respect to some triangulation  $\tau_1$  with  $\text{mesh } \tau_1 < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists a number  $k$  such that for any triangulation  $\tau$  of  $N_k$  there is a map  $g: |\tau^{(m+1)}| \rightarrow N_1^{(m)}$  with  $\rho(g, f_1^k) < 3\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega: W_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{Z}, m) \rightarrow N_1$  be the Edwards-Walsh construction. By Lemma 5 there is an  $\varepsilon$ -lifting  $f: X \rightarrow W_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{Z}, m)$  of  $q_1^\infty$ . Apply the above argument to define  $g': |\tau^{(m+1)}| \rightarrow W_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{Z}, m)^{[m+1]}$ . Since  $W_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{Z}, m)^{[m+1]} = W_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{Z}, m)^{[m]}$  the map  $g = \omega \circ g'$  sends  $|\tau^{(m+1)}|$  into  $N_1^{(m)}$  and property  $\rho(g, f_1^k) < 3\varepsilon$  holds.

The following is a generalization of Edwards' theorem [E2, W].

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the compactum  $X$  has cohomological dimensions  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} X \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , for some  $p$ . Then there exist an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional compactum  $Z$  with  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Z \leq n$ ,  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} Z \leq n$  and a cell-like map  $\alpha: Z \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X$  is the limit space of an inverse system of compact polyhedra  $\{N_k, q_i^{k+1}\}$ . Proposition 1 implies that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq n+1$ .

We construct two inverse systems  $\{K_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$ ,  $\{L_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$ , and a system of maps  $\{\alpha_i: L_i \rightarrow K_i\}$  such that  $X = \varprojlim \{K_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$ , and properties (1)–(3) of Lemma 4 hold. We construct it by induction so that the step of induction from  $i$  to  $i+1$  depends on the class of  $i \bmod 3$ . To demonstrate it consider in detail the cases  $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$ .

Define  $K_i = N_1$ . Consider a finite covering  $\mathcal{M}^1$  of  $K_1$  by contractible subpolyhedra with respect to some fixed triangulation of  $K_1$  and with nontrivial Lebesgue number  $\lambda_1 = \lambda(\mathcal{M}^1)$ . Let us regard that for each  $N_k$  some metric  $\rho_k$  is fixed and  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \rho_k < \infty$ . Let  $\tau_1$  be a triangulation of  $K_1$  with  $\text{mesh } \tau_1 < \lambda_1/12$ . Define  $L_1 = |\tau_1^{(n+1)}|$  and let  $\alpha_1: L_1 \hookrightarrow K_1$  be the natural embedding. Apply Lemma 6 for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and for  $\gamma_1 = \tau_1^{(n+1)}$  to obtain  $k$ . Then define  $K_2 = N_k$  and consider a finite covering  $\mathcal{M}^2$  of  $K_2$  by contractible subpolyhedra with nontrivial Lebesgue number  $\lambda_2 = \lambda(\mathcal{M}^2)$  with respect to a metric  $\rho_1 + \rho_k$  on  $K_2$  given by

$$(\rho_1 + \rho_k)(x_1, x_2) = \rho_1(q_1^k(x_1), q_1^k(x_2)) + \rho_k(x_1, x_2).$$

We can regard that  $d_2 = \text{diam } \mathcal{M}^2 < \lambda_1/4$ . Choose a triangulation  $\tau_2$  of  $K_2$  with  $\text{mesh } \tau_2 < \lambda_2/12$  (with respect to that metric  $\rho_1 + \rho_k$ ). Define  $L_2 = |\tau_2^{(n+1)}|$ . By Lemma 6 there exists a map  $g_1^2: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$  with  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(g_1^2, \tau_1) \leq n$  and  $\rho(g_1^2, q_1^k|_{|\tau_2^{(n+1)}|}) < 3\lambda_1/12 = \lambda_1/4$ . Denote  $f_1^2 = q_1^k$ , and define  $\alpha_2: L_2 \hookrightarrow K_2$  be the natural embedding. So, properties (1)–(3) of Lemma 4 for  $i \leq 2$  hold. Choose a triangulation  $\gamma_2$  of  $L_2$  with  $\max\{\text{mesh } \gamma_2, \text{mesh } g_1^2 \gamma_2\} < \lambda_2$  and apply Lemma 6 for  $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  and the system  $\{N_l, q_l^{l+1}\}_{l \geq k}$ . We will obtain a number  $l$  such that for any triangulation  $\tau_3$  of  $N_l$  there is a map  $g: |\tau^{(n+1)}| \rightarrow N_k^{(n+1)} = L_2$  with  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}(g, \tau_2) \leq n$  and

$$\rho(g, q_k^l|_{|\tau^{(n+1)}|}) < 3 \text{mesh } \tau_2 < \lambda_3/4.$$

Choose  $\tau_3$  by the following routine way. Consider a finite covering  $M^3$  of  $K_3 = N_l$  by contractible subpolyhedra with respect to a nontrivial Lebesgue number  $\lambda_3 = \lambda(M^3)$ . Consider the metric  $\rho_1 + \rho_k + \rho_l$  on  $K_3$ . We can regard that  $d_2 = d(M^2) < \lambda_2/4$ . At last choose  $\tau_3$  with mesh  $\tau_3 < \lambda_3/12$ , and define  $L_3 = |\tau_3^{(n+1)}|$ . Let  $\alpha_3: L_3 \hookrightarrow K_3$  be the embedding and let  $g_2^3$  be a map  $g: |\tau_3^{(n+1)}| \rightarrow L_2$  obtained by Lemma 6. Denote  $f_2^3 = q_k^l$ , and the properties (1)–(3) of Lemma 4 still hold.

Apply Lemma 7 to the sequence  $\{N_r, q_r^{r+1}\}_{r \geq l}$ ,  $m = n+1$  and triangulation  $\tau_3$  on  $N_l = K_3$  to obtain a map  $g: |\tau_2^{(n+2)}| \rightarrow L_3$  with  $\rho(g, f_l^r) < 3 \text{ mesh } \tau_3 < \lambda_3/4$  for some  $r > l$  and arbitrary triangulation  $\tau_4$  of  $N_r$ . We choose  $\tau_4$  by using the above routine.

Define  $L_4 = |\tau_4^{(n+1)}|$  and  $\alpha_4$  as the natural embedding. Denote  $q_l^r$  by  $f_3^4$ . The map  $g$  gives us a projection  $g_3^4$ . The properties (1)–(3) of Lemma 4 are satisfied.

Using this procedure we can construct two inverse systems  $\{K_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$  and  $\{L_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$  with a family of maps  $\{\alpha_i: L_i \rightarrow K_i\}$  together with triangulations  $\tau_i$  on  $K_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  on  $L_i$  and a family of coverings  $\mathcal{M}^i$  of  $K_i$  by contractible subpolyhedra with respect to  $\tau_i$ . We define a brick metric on  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  and some metric  $\rho'_i$  on  $L_i$  for each  $i$  with properties (1)–(3) from Lemma 4 and

$$(4) \max\{\text{mesh } \gamma_i, \text{mesh } g_{i-1}^i \gamma_i, \dots, \text{mesh } g_1^i \gamma_i\} < \lambda_i,$$

$$(5) L_i = |\tau_i^{(n+1)}|,$$

$$(6) \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(g_i^{i+1}, \gamma_i) \leq n \text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

$$(7) \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}(g_i^{i+1}, \gamma_i) \leq n \text{ if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3},$$

(8) there exists an extension  $\bar{g}_i: |\tau_{i+1}^{(n+2)}| \rightarrow L_i$  of the map  $g_i^{i+1}$  if  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

By Lemma 4 we have a map  $\alpha: Z = \varprojlim \{L_i\} \rightarrow X = \varprojlim \{K_i\}$  with  $\alpha^{-1}(x) = \varprojlim \{\alpha_i^{-1} M_{f_i^\infty(x)}, g_i^{i+1} | \dots\}$ . Denote  $M_{f_i^\infty(x)}$  by  $M_i$ . By virtue of property (5),  $\alpha_i^{-1} M_i = M^{(n+1)}$ . Consider  $i = 3k$ . By property (8) the map  $g_i^{i+1}|_{M_{i+1}}$  can be extended to a map  $\bar{g}_i: M_{i+1}^{(n+2)} \rightarrow L_i^{(n+1)}$ . Since  $M_i$  is contractible then there exists a retraction  $r_i: L_i^{(n+1)} \rightarrow M_i^{(n+1)}$ . Since  $M_{i+1}^{(n+1)}$  is contractible in  $M_{i+1}^{(n+2)}$  and  $r_i \circ \bar{g}_i: M_{i+1}^{(n+2)} \rightarrow M_i^{(n+1)}$  and  $r_i \circ \bar{g}_i|_{M_{i+1}^{(n+1)}} = g_i^{i+1}$  then  $g_i^{i+1}$  is homotopic to constant. Hence  $\text{Sh } \alpha^{-1}(x) = *$  for each  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is a cell-like map.

Properties (4) and (6) together with Lemma 3 imply that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Z \leq n$ . Then properties (4), (7) and Lemma 3 imply that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} Z \leq n$ .

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following.

**Theorem 4.** *There exists a cell-like map  $f: I^6 \rightarrow Y$  of the 6-dimensional cube with infinite-dimensional image.*

The proof of Theorem 4 follows by Theorems 5 and 6 below.

**Theorem 5.** *There exists a compactum  $Z$  with  $\dim Z \times Z = 5$  and a cell-like map  $\phi: Z \rightarrow Y'$  with  $\dim Y' = \infty$ .*

**Theorem 6** [D-R-S, Sp]. *If  $\dim Z \times Z < n$  then every map  $\psi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  can be approximated by embeddings.*

Indeed, embed the compactum  $Z$  from Theorem 5 in the 6-dimensional cube and consider the quotient map  $f: I^6 \rightarrow Y$  of the decomposition generated by  $\{\phi^{-1}(x)\}$  and singletons.

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Z \leq n$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} Z \leq n$  and  $Z$  is finite dimensional. Then  $\dim Z \times Z \leq 2n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* The Bokshtein inequalities [Ku] imply that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_q} Z \leq n$  for all primes  $q$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} Z \leq n$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the rationals. The Künneth formula for fields implies that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_q} Z \times Z \leq 2n$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} Z \times Z \leq 2n$ . By virtue of Bokshtein's theorem [Ku]  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z \times Z) = \max \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}}(Z \times Z)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}$  is the localization of the integers at  $q$ . By Bokshtein's inequalities [Ku, D1]  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^\infty}} \leq \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_q}$  and  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}} \leq \max\{\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^\infty}} + 1\}$  it follows that  $\text{c-dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}} Z \leq 2n + 1$ . Since  $Z$  is finite dimensional then Alexandroff's theorem [A, W] implies that  $\dim Z \times Z \leq 2n + 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 5 follows by Theorem 3 and Lemma 8.

**Problem.** Suppose that  $\dim Z \times Z = 2n - 1$  for some compactum  $Z$ . Is it possible to imbed  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [A] P. S. Alexandroff, *Dimension theorie, ein Beitrag zur Geometrie der abgeschlossenen Mengen*, Math. Ann. **106** (1932), 161–238.
- [Ar] S. Armentrout, *Cellular decomposition of 3-manifolds that yield 3-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 107 (1971).
- [A-H] D. W. Anderson and L. Hodgkin, *the K-theory of Eilenberg-Mac Lane complexes*, Topology **7** (1968), 317–329.
- [B1] R. H. Bing, *A decomposition of  $E^3$  into points and tame arcs such that the decomposition space is topologically different from  $E^3$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **65** (1957), 484–500.
- [B2] —, *Decomposition of  $E^3$  in topology of 3-manifolds and related topics*, M. K. Fort, ed., Prentice-Hall, 1962, pp. 5–21.
- [Br] M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 478–483.
- [B-M] V. M. Bokshtaber and A. S. Mischenko, *K-theory for the category of infinite cellular complexes*, Math. USSR-Izv. **2** (1969), 515–556.
- [C] J. W. Cannon, *Shrinking cell-like decompositions of manifolds. Codimensions three*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), 83–112.
- [Da] R. D. Daverman, *Detecting the disjoint disks property*, Pacific J. Math. **93:2** (1981), 277–298.
- [D1] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Homological dimension theory*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **43:4** (1988), 11–55 = Russian Math. Surveys **43** (1988), 11–63.
- [D2] —, *On Alexandroff problem*, Mat. Sb. **135:4** (1986), 59–111. (Russian)
- [D-S] A. N. Dranishnikov and E. V. Schepin, *Cell-like maps. The problem of increase of the dimension*, Russian Math. Surveys **41:6** (1986), 59–111.
- [D-R-S] A. N. Dranishnikov, D. Repovs and E. V. Schepin, *On intersection of compacta of complementary dimension in euclidean space*, Topology Appl. **38** (1991), 237–253.
- [E1] R. D. Edwards, *Approximating certain cell-like maps by homeomorphisms*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), A-649.

- [E2] ———, *A theorem and a question related to cohomological dimension and cell-like maps*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1978), A-259.
- [Ku] V. I. Kuzminov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **23:5** (1968), 1–45.
- [K-W] G. Kozłowski and J. Walsh, *Cell-like mappings on 3-manifolds*, Topology **22** (1983), 147–153.
- [M-R] W. J. R. Mitchel and D. Repovš, *The topology of cell-like mappings*, Proc. Workshop in Differential Geometry and Topology (Cala Gane, 1988) (to appear).
- [Q] F. Quinn, *Ends of maps. III: dimensions 4 and 5*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 503–521.
- [S] L. C. Sibenmann, *Approximating cellular maps by homeomorphisms*, Topology **11** (1972), 271–294.
- [Sp] S. Spiez, *Imbeddings in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  of  $m$ -dimensional compacta with  $\dim(X \times X) < 2m$* , Fund. Math. **134** (1990), 105–115.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *Geometric topology*, Part I: *Localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass., 1970.
- [W] J. J. Walsh, *Dimension, cohomological dimension, and cell-like mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 870, Springer, 1981, pp. 105–118.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, MOSCOW 117966, RUSSIA

*E-mail address*: dranish@top.mian.su

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853-7901